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The European Radiation Dosimetry Group (EURADOS) is a network of 83 European institutions (Voting Members) and more than 650 scientists (Associate Members)

### Working Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working Group</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WG2</td>
<td>Harmonisation of individual monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG3</td>
<td>Environmental dosimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG6</td>
<td>Computational dosimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG7</td>
<td>Internal dosimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG9</td>
<td>Radiation dosimetry in radiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG10</td>
<td>Retrospective dosimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG11</td>
<td>High energy radiation fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WG12</strong></td>
<td>Dosimetry in medical imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot Group</td>
<td>Dosimetry in nuclear medicine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Promote technical development and its implementation in routine work
- Contribute to compatibility/harmonization within Europe and conformance with international practices
- Organization of scientific meeting, and training activities
- Organization of intercomparisons and benchmark studies

[https://eurados.sckcen.be/](https://eurados.sckcen.be/)
EURADOS WG-12 – Current Task Groups

STAFF DOSIMETRY
T.G. 1.1 Report on individual monitoring in interventional radiology and cardiology
T.G.1.2 Dose constraints DCs in interventional cardiology
T.G.1.3 EURADOS practical worksheets
T.G.1.7 Interventional practices in complex procedures
T.G 1.8 Radiation exposure in nuclear medicine evaluation of finger doses and influence of new isotopes

PATIENT DOSIMETRY
T.G.2.2 Total dose in radiotherapy
T.G.2.4 Dosimetry in pregnancy related to medical exposure
T.G.2.5 Organ Dose in Interventional Radiology

Joint Task: Operator exposure in nuclear medicine during radiopharmaceutical administration
Scattering field variation in interventional procedures

Different parameters affect the variability of the scattered field reaching the operator in interventional practice. Such variability has been studied in EURADOS WG-12 through simulations with Monte Carlo code MCNP, modified MIRD models and specific measurements in interventional theaters.

Reference:
Simulation of H\(_p\) (10) and effective dose received by the medical staff in interventional radiology procedures. 
Shielding - interventional radiology

Notwithstanding the intrinsic variability of the exposure, it can be efficiently reduced through a proper shielding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>KAP Gy.cm²</th>
<th>Measured Hp(10) µSv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTC no shielding</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC no shielding</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC no shielding</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTA + 0.5 mm Pb</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC + 0.5 mm Pb</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBD + 1 mm Pb</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTBD + 1 mm Pb</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTC Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography
PTA-Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty
PTBD-Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage and/or stenting

Simulation of 1 mm Pb mobile barrier
Shielding efficiency (LAO 90° IC) – positioning

The barriers should be properly positioned to preserve their effectiveness in reducing operator’s exposure.

References:
Study of the parameters affecting operator doses in interventional radiology using Monte Carlo simulations.  
*C. Koukorava et al 2011 Radiation Measurements 46 (2011) 1216 -1222*

Monte Carlo study of the scattered radiation field near the eyes of the operator in interventional procedures  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No shielding</th>
<th>Shielding Pos.A</th>
<th>Shielding Pos.B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dose normalized to the left eye (most exposed)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Shielding efficiency (LAO 90°) – eye lens protection

In case of protective glasses, the shape is an important parameter that can affect their radiation attenuation properties.

References:
The effectiveness of lead glasses in reducing the doses to eye lenses during cardiac implantation procedures performed using x-ray tubes above the patient table
*J. Domienik et al 2016 J. Radiol. Prot., 36*

Monte Carlo study of the scattered radiation field near the eyes of the operator in interventional procedures

**DOSE TO THE LEFT EYE (THE MOST EXPOSED) NORMALIZED TO «NO GLASSES» CASE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No glasses</th>
<th>Type A (simple)</th>
<th>Type B (laterally ext.)</th>
<th>Type C (lat. &amp; lower protections)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dose Factor</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simulation of brain exposure in IR

Recently some papers put the attention on the possible long terms effects of medical staff head exposure during interventional practices in developing malignancies (in particular for the left hemisphere of the brain).

References:

Brain and neck tumours among physicians performing interventional procedures.
A. Roguin et al 2013 Am J Cardiol 2013;111:1368–72

Occupational radiation exposure and deaths from malignant intracranial neoplasms of the brain and CNS in U.S. radiologic technologists
C.M. Kithara et al. 2017 Am J Roentgenol 2017;208:1278–84

Absorbed dose in the operator’s brain in interventional radiology practices: evaluation through KAP value conversion factors

A study was undertaken in WG12 to assess the doses to the brain.

![Brain doses per projections operator 2 - 90 kV 3 mm Al 0.2 mm Cu ABSORBED DOSE IN AN EXAM](image)

Here are Absorbed dose : Gy
KAP VALUE: 30 Gy.cm²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PA</th>
<th>Right Dose</th>
<th>Left Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>7.8E-06</td>
<td>7.1E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAO25</td>
<td>3.4E-06</td>
<td>2.3E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAO25</td>
<td>6.8E-06</td>
<td>5.7E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRA25</td>
<td>7.6E-06</td>
<td>5.7E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum doses of the order of 15 - 18 μGy per procedure
Monitoring the extremities

- ring dosemeters at the base of the little or middle fingers
- wrist dosemeters may be used (possible underestimate of the skin dose)
- The sensitive part of the detector should point in the direction of the radiation (patient)
- The proper use of table shield (0.5 mm Pb) can reduce the leg doses from 2 to 5 times.

Data taken from:
ORAMED:
Optimization of Radiation Protection of Medical Staff
Vanhavere et al.
EURADOS Report 2012-02
Monitoring the eye lens

- One dosemeter worn over the protection, measuring Hp(10) or Hp(10) and Hp(0.07), when the eye lens exposure is expected to be low, can give an estimation of the dose to the lens of the eye.
- One specific eye lens dosemeter placed close to the eyes and measuring Hp(3) provides the best estimate of the dose to the lens of the eye.

References:
Eye lens monitoring for interventional radiology personnel: dosemeters, calibration and practical aspects of Hp(3) monitoring. A 2015 review

Occupational Exposure of the Eye Lens in Interventional Procedures: How to Assess and Manage Radiation Dose

Eurados 2016 intercomparison exercise of eye lens dosemeters.

How to establish an adequate system for eye lens dose monitoring: A proposal for typical workplaces
Monitoring the whole body

- Double dosimetry (dosemeter under / dosemeter over apron) provides more accurate results (algorithms are needed to combine the two measurements)
- One dosemeter over apron needs a corrective factor considering the attenuation
- One dosemeter under apron can be taken as an estimate of E (but may underestiamate the dose received by the unprotected part of the body)

References:

Overview of double dosimetry procedures for the determination of the effective dose to the interventional radiology staff.  

Practical guidelines for personal monitoring and estimation of effective dose and dose to the lens of the eye in interventional procedures  
P. Askounis et al 2022 J. Radiol. Prot. 42

On the placement of apron dosemeter and dose assessment in interventional cardiology procedures: preliminary results.  
How about the new ICRU 95 quantities in dose monitoring?

- For ideal dosemeter a factor calculated as the ratio of the old and new conversion coefficients for that field is needed.
- In case of a real dosemeter additional angle/energy correction factors should be required.
- Because the new quantities, $H^*$ and $Hp$ (that will substitute $H^*(10)$ and $Hp(10)$), the measured doses for occupationally exposed workers will change.
- It is likely that for workplaces where low energy photons are the dominant component of the radiation field, the protection of the eye lens will become more relevant in the dose assessment and dose limiting than the whole body dose.

Evaluation of the Impact of the New ICRU Operational Quantities and Recommendations for their Practical Application

*Gilvin et al.*

EURADOS Report 2022-02
Additional references from EURADOS WG-12

Determining the dose rate dependence of different active personal dosimeters in standardized pulsed and continuous radiation fields.  

Effect of the radiation protective apron on the response of active and passive personal dosimeters used in interventional radiology and cardiology.  

The use of active personal dosimeters in interventional workplaces in hospitals: Comparison between active and passive dosimeters worn simultaneously by medical staff.  

Recommendations for the use of active personal dosimeters (APDs) in interventional workplaces in hospitals  

What Is Worth Knowing in Interventional Practices about Medical Staff Radiation Exposure Monitoring: A Review of Recent Outcomes of EURADOS Working Group 12  
*P. Ferrari et al.* 2022 Environments 2022, 9, 53. [https://doi.org/10.3390/environments9040053](https://doi.org/10.3390/environments9040053)

EURADOS REPORT (under preparation, expected release in 2024): Individual Exposure and Monitoring in Interventional Radiology and Cardiology