

17th EAN Workshop

Introduction to Working Groups

"ALARA in Emergency Exposure Situations" Lisbon, Portugal 15-17 May 2017



Working Group Topics

1 - Can the ALARA principle fully apply in Emergency Exposure Situations for the members of the public

room: Aquarium (downstairs)

2 - Can the ALARA principle fully apply in Emergency Exposure Situations for the occupationally involved individuals?

room: Auditorium

- 3 Predict the unpredictable!? How to ensure that emergency plans are optimal from a radiation protection point of view?
- 3a- Physics building
- 3b- Reactor building



Working Group Timetable

- Monday: ~ 16:00 ~ 17⊕30 (after coffee break)
 - Round table, Introductions
 - (Very) Preliminary discussions (night thoughts)
- Tuesday: ~ 14:00 ~ 17:00 (including coffee break)
 - Discussions
 - Preparation of recommendations (®PowerPoint)
 - Brief meeting with WG facilitators
- Wednesday
 - 9:00 10:20 WG report back (<20'/group)
 - 11:00-12:00 Workshop conclusions (J.Morgan & P. Crouail)



Working Group Functioning

- Representatives from each group
 - Facilitator
 - Rapporteur (to be decided by the Groups)
- Topics
 - Questions are provided to get you started
 - Do not limit yourselves!
- Recommendations (3 4 per group)
 - By end of Day 2 copy to Julie and Pascal
 - PowerPoint (<u>few</u> slides)
 - Recommendations (+ to whom?)



Working Group Facilitators

1: Mrs. Catrinel Turcanu

2: Mrs. Ciara McMahon

3a: Mrs. Tatiana Duranova

3b: Mr. Eduardo Gallego



Workshop Objectives (1)

 To show, in particular from the experience of Fukushima accident, the challenges posed by the optimisation of exposures in emergency and postaccident situations

 To review the national arrangements for assessing, monitoring and mitigating the radiological consequences of an emergency, especially with regard to applying the ALARA principle to public and occupational exposures



Workshop Objectives (2)

 To review the arrangements for managing emergency doses to workers

 To review the arrangements for providing ALARAbased training for the different types of stakeholders who would be engaged in the emergency response and long-term recovery actions.



Some personal thoughts...

- Is RP doing (always) more good than harm? (need to revisit elements of the protection strategies highlighting justification principle, ethical issues, societal context and affected people's preferences, uncertainties, reasonableness and practicality?)
- How to reduce uncertainty in dose predictions and measurements in order to regain the lost public confidence?
- After a radiological event, what level of protection could be expected?
 ALARA, ALAP or "ALABA"*? Who decide (role of stakeholders)?
- What are the possible perverse effects of the use of reference levels and particularly derived reference levels (PA zoning)?
- How to include non radiological issues in the optimisation process?
- How to increase the radiological protection culture in "peacetime"?
- Unsolved issues (eg non food management, criteria for the lifting of protecting actions)



Some personal thoughts...

Request of the affected people: Help us find and go the right way!

